

Office of the State Actuary

1995-2000 Actuarial Experience Study

PERS, TRS, SERS, LEOFF, WSP

January 2002

Office of the State Actuary
P.O. Box 40914, Olympia, WA 98504-0914
360-753-9144 – actuary_st@leg.wa.gov

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Summary of Demographic Findings	1
Demographic Assumptions	2
General	2
Mortality	2
Service Retirement/Probabilities of Retirement	12
Plan 1	12
PERS	
TRS	
LEOFF	
WSP	
Plan 2/3	
PERS	
TRS	
SERS	
LEOFF	25
Disability	26
PERS	
TRS	
SERS	
LEOFF	
WSP	
W 01	1
Terminations	
PERS	32
TRS	34
SERS	36
LEOFF	38
WSP	
Tormination with Vested Panelit	40
Termination with Vested Benefit	
PERS	
TRS	
SERS	
LEOFF	
WSP	43

o .		
Salar	y Increase	44
Step/l	longevity Salary Increase	45
PE	RS	4:
TR	S	40
SE	RS	4′
LE	OFF	48
W	SP	
Devel	opment of Average Final Compensation (AFC)	50
Perce	nt Married	5
	RS	
	S	
	RS	
	OFF	
WS		
Certa	in and Life Annuities	53
Milita	ary Service Credit	54
	RS	
	SP	
Age I	Difference	59
Econo	omic Assumptions	59
Actus	rial Valuation Method	50

I. Introduction

This is a report of the findings of our study of the demographic assumptions of the major Washington State Retirement Systems for the six-year period from 1995 to 2000. The study includes the following retirement systems:

Public Employees Retirement System(PERS) Plan 1 and 2; Teachers Retirement System(TRS) Plans 1, 2 and 3; School Employees Retirement System(SERS) Plans 2 and 3; Law Enforcement Officers and Firefighters Retirement System Plans 1 and 2; and the State Patrol Retirement System.

The purposes of this experience study are to:

- Review actual experience in relation to the current actuarial assumptions;
- Develop any changes in the actuarial basis (actuarial method and actuarial assumptions) as may be indicated by such review; and

There are two distinct types of assumptions used in an actuarial valuation:

- (1) Demographic assumptions -- estimating flows of people through the system and non-economic factors that affect benefits.
- (2) Economic assumptions -- estimating the impacts of economic factors on benefits and salaries and their present values.

II. Summary of Demographic Findings

Mortality

Mortality of retired members has changed very little over the last six years. We expected 15,212 retiree deaths and experienced 15,093 deaths for an Actual to Expected ratio of 99.2%.

Retirement

The vast majority of retirements still occur in the Plans 1. About 7% fewer occurred than anticipated. The Plans 2 &3 retirement experience has yet to develop fully. Current experience is about 73% of expected.

Disability

Disabilities in all but LEOFF Plan 1 account for less than 10% of the total retirements. The experience was slightly higher than the assumption but remains low.

LEOFF 1 disabilities continue to account for about 60% of total LEOFF 1 retirements.

Termination

Actual terminations were higher than expected in all systems by about 13%.

III. Demographic Assumptions

General

Demographic assumptions are those which can be readily established by statistical studies of past experience. All data used in this study was provided by the Department of Retirement Systems. The data used was based on the information provided for the annual actuarial valuations from 1995 to 2000.

The valuation detail files for 1995-2000 were merged to produce a single record for each person who was a member of the system during any part of the study period. Each record provides a service and salary history over the study period.

We analyzed this file for each of four causes of decrement: mortality, retirement, disability, and turnover. Our analysis revolved around ratios of actual to expected experience, both year-by-year and for the entire study period. Tables showing ratios of actual to expected experience both on the old and suggested new basis will be set out for each decrement as it is discussed.

Mortality

There are four mortality bases to be reviewed: Pre-Retirement Mortality, Post-Retirement Mortality, Post-Disablement Mortality, and Beneficiary Mortality.

Individual retirement systems have insufficient data or resources to develop a complete mortality table. The Society of Actuaries periodically solicits data from a large number of retirement systems in order to develop mortality tables useful as a base for individual retirement systems.

The latest table developed by the Society is the RP-2000 Table. Although the previous table UP94 produced very good results over the last six years it is important to utilize the latest table available.

The RP2000 Table is developed from data from a wide variety of retirement systems. Individual systems covering a specific type of employee may consistently have experience above or below this average. Adjustment for this is usually accomplished by using the base table but sliding the table forward or backward when looking up the mortality for a specific age.

To adjust for a group with slightly higher mortality experience we might add one or more years to the age of the member when looking up the mortality rate in the base table. Where mortality is expected to be lower we might subtract one or more years from the age. Adding to the age is done to reflect higher expected mortality and subtracting from the age is done to reflect lower expected mortality.

Pre-Retirement Mortality

Mortality rates of active members prior to retirement age are hard to measure, very small and have limited impact on actuarial results. Many illnesses force termination of employment prior to death. Many of these deaths are never included in mortality studies of retirement systems. The liabilities associated with pre-retirement deaths are usually small.

Post-Retirement Mortality

This is most significant of mortality assumptions due to its impact on actuarial results. Defined benefit plans usually pay benefits for the life of the retiree. The longer a member lives the more benefits will be paid.

Post-Disablement Mortality

The effect of many disabilities on mortality is short-lived. Immediately following disablement, mortality is high but then lessens and over time mortality approaches that of the retiree population. A way to accommodate these trends is to use a standard table with a minimum mortality percentage. A combination of a minimum mortality level and an age set forward allows us to use a standard table to mimic disability mortality.

Beneficiary Mortality

This group includes both the beneficiaries of active duty deaths and the beneficiaries of retiree deaths. These groups are much smaller than service retirees so there is insufficient experience to differentiate their mortality experience. We will use the same table for beneficiaries as for service retirees.

Comparison of Old and New Assumptions

A mortality table is composed of the probability of death for each sex at each age. Comparing two tables directly can be difficult. One of the easiest and most meaningful ways is to compare life expectancies at each sex and age for the two tables.

A life expectancy is the average number of years a person of that sex and age is expected to live. Clearly it does not apply to any individual. Half of the people will likely die before the life expectancy and half will live longer. Life expectancies are derived from mortality tables but are not used in Actuarial Valuations. Actuaries use the mortality table directly in their studies.

Old Assumption: The UP 94 Table

New Assumption: The RP2000 Table

Life Expectancy						
	Old Assu	ımptions	New Assu	umptions		
Age	Male Female		Male	Female		
30	48.6	53.1	48.7	52.1		
40	39.0	43.4	39.1	42.3		
50	29.6	33.8	29.7	32.8		
60	20.9	24.6	21.3	23.9		
70	13.6	16.5	13.7	16.0		
80	8.0	9.8	7.7	9.6		
90	4.4	5.2	4.1	5.3		

Post-Retirement Mortality

Age Adjustments						
	Old Ass	umptions	New Assumption			
System	Male Female		Male	Female		
PERS	0	0	0	0		
TRS	-3	-1	-2	-2		
SERS	0	0	0	-2		
LEOFF	1	1	0	0		
WSP	1	1	0	0		

All members of TRS and female members of SERS appear to have mortality experience below the level of the basic table. This is accounted for by utilizing the table with the age of the member moved back 2 years.

PERS Mortality Experience Post-Retirement 1995-2000 Old Assumptions New Assumptions							
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio		
-54	15	15	98%	12	121%		
55-59	78	65	119%	54	143%		
60-64	209	217	96%	189	111%		
65-69	646	677	95%	614	105%		
70-74	1,039	1,099	95%	1,085	96%		
75-79	1,485	1,543	96%	1,572	94%		
80-84	1,709	1,688	101%	1,728	99%		
85-89	1,433	1,336	107%	1,438	100%		
90-94	784	708	111%	756	104%		
95-99	296	231	128%	225	132%		
100+	30	36	82%	30	101%		
Total	7,724	7,616	101%	7,703	100%		

TRS									
	Mortality Experience								
	Post-Retirement								
		1995	-2000						
		Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions				
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio				
-54	9	7	122%	7	134%				
55-59	45	53	86%	47	95%				
60-64	149	171	87%	154	97%				
65-69	271	364	75%	337	80%				
70-74	418	483	87%	474	88%				
75-79	543	608	89%	625	87%				
80-84	713	766	93%	768	93%				
85-89	979	914	107%	906	108%				
90-94	901	792	114%	779	116%				
95-99	338	293	115%	271	125%				
100+	87	62	139%	50	173%				
Total	4,453	4,514	99%	4,420	101%				

SERS Mortality Experience Post-Retirement 1995-2000 Old Assumptions New Assumptions							
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio		
-54	1	1	92%	1	115%		
55-59	10	9	115%	7	141%		
60-64	54	60	90%	48	112%		
65-69	191	229	84%	193	99%		
70-74	309	377	82%	346	89%		
75-79	494	556	89%	522	95%		
80-84	649	645	101%	601	108%		
85-89	543	515	105%	495	110%		
90-94	263	251	105%	241	109%		
95-99	83	59	140%	55	150%		
100+	6	6	101%	5	126%		
Total	2,603	2,708	96%	2,515	104%		

	LEOFF								
Mortality Experience									
	Post-Retirement								
		1995	-2000						
		Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions				
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio				
-54	3	6	54%	4	79%				
55-59	13	16	79%	11	114%				
60-64	19	31	62%	22	86%				
65-69	28	54	52%	41	69%				
70-74	69	73	95%	60	115%				
75-79	64	68	95%	59	109%				
80-84	51	46	111%	42	122%				
85-89	19	22	85%	22	86%				
90-94	8	6	124%	7	122%				
95-99	1	1	115%	1	117%				
100+	0	0	0%	0	0%				
Total	275	322	85%	268	103%				

WSP Mortality Experience Post-Retirement 1995-2000 Old Assumptions New Assumptions							
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio		
-54	0	3	0%	2	0%		
55-59	1	5	19%	4	27%		
60-64	2	6	33%	4	46%		
65-69	4	8	53%	6	70%		
70-74	6	6	97%	5	119%		
75-79	4	6	69%	5	79%		
80-84	12	11	111%	10	122%		
85-89	7	5	151%	5	153%		
90-94	1	1	80%	1	79%		
95-99	0	1	0%	1	0%		
100+	1	0	309%	0	290%		
Total	38	52	74%	43	89%		

Post Disablement Mortality

Old Mortality Basis: UP 94 Table:

New Mortality Basis: RP2000 Table

Post-Disablement Mortality Assumptions						
_	Old Assu	mptions	New Assur	mptions		
	Male	Female	Male	Female		
PERS						
Age Offset	2	2	6	6		
minimum	5.75%	3.25%	5.00%	3.50%		
TRS						
Age Offset	-3	-1	5	2		
minimum	2.50%	2.00%	3.00%	1.75%		
SERS						
Age Offset	2	2	5	2		
minimum	5.75%	3.25%	3.00%	3.00%		
LEOFF						
Age Offset	2	2	2	2		
minimum	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%		
WSP						
Age Offset	2	2	2	2		
minimum	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%		

	PERS								
	Mortality Experience								
	Post-Disablement								
	1995-2000								
		Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions				
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio				
-44	3	8	36%	8	37%				
45-49	18	27	68%	25	72%				
50-54	40	52	77%	48	83%				
55-59	78	83	94%	77	101%				
60-64	103	94	110%	88	117%				
65-69	83	97	85%	91	91%				
70-74	80	69	116%	72	112%				
75-79	97	56	174%	84	116%				
80-84	51	35	145%	56	92%				
85-89	9	7	125%	11	80%				
90-94	3	2	133%	3	97%				
95-99	1	0	346%	0	279%				
100+	0	0	0%	0	0%				
Total	566	530	107%	565	100%				

TRS									
Mortality Experience									
	Post-Disablement								
	1995-2000								
		Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions				
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio				
-44	1	1	169%	1	159%				
45-49	4	5	75%	5	74%				
50-54	17	14	125%	14	122%				
55-59	17	17	102%	17	98%				
60-64	18	22	83%	23	79%				
65-69	22	21	107%	22	99%				
70-74	24	16	148%	26	94%				
75-79	26	14	189%	24	109%				
80-84	19	9	215%	14	135%				
85-89	6	3	172%	5	115%				
90-94	3	3	119%	3	90%				
95-99	2	3	66%	3	62%				
100+	1	1	112%	1	136%				
Total	160	127	126%	158	101%				

SERS Mortality Experience Post-Disablement 1995-2000						
		Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions	
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio	
-44	0	1	0%	1	0%	
45-49	2	3	61%	2	97%	
50-54	5	9	56%	6	80%	
55-59	14	20	70%	16	89%	
60-64	24	31	77%	23	106%	
65-69	32	36	90%	26	125%	
70-74	29	26	112%	23	129%	
75-79	21	26	80%	31	69%	
80-84	25	18	139%	21	120%	
85-89	1	3	39%	3	31%	
90-94	0	0	0%	0	0%	
95-99	0	0	0%	0	0%	
100+	0	0	0%	0	0%	
Total	153	172	89%	150	102%	

LEOFF								
	Mortality Experience							
Post-Disablement								
		1995	-2000					
		Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions			
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio			
-44	1	3	37%	3	37%			
45-49	8	14	57%	14	57%			
50-54	27	31	87%	31	88%			
55-59	29	38	77%	30	96%			
60-64	46	42	109%	34	133%			
65-69	65	56	115%	48	134%			
70-74	59	76	78%	71	84%			
75-79	74	76	98%	73	101%			
80-84	36	32	113%	33	110%			
85-89	9	13	71%	14	64%			
90-94	4	3	125%	3	116%			
95-99	0	0	0%	0	0%			
100+	0	0	0%	0	0%			
Total	358	384	93%	354	101%			

WSP Mortality Experience Post-Disablement 1995-2000 Old Assumptions New Assumptions						
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio	
-44	0	0	0%	0	0%	
45-49	0	0	0%	0	0%	
50-54	1	0	246%	0	250%	
55-59	0	1	0%	0	0%	
60-64	3	1	473%	1	581%	
65-69	1	1	112%	1	131%	
70-74	0	1	0%	1	0%	
75-79	1	1	98%	1	102%	
80-84	0	0	0%	0	0%	
85-89	1	0	349%	0	315%	
90-94	0	0	0%	0	0%	
95-99	0	0	0%	0	0%	
100+	0	0	0%	0	0%	
Total	7	5	137%	5	149%	

	Probability of Mortality RP2000*					
Age	Male <u>Mortality</u>	Female Mortality				
20	0.0345%	0.0191%				
25	0.0376%	0.0207%				
30	0.0444%	0.0264%				
35	0.0773%	0.0475%				
40	0.1079%	0.0706%				
45	0.1508%	0.1124%				
50	0.2138%	0.1676%				
55	0.3624%	0.2717%				
60	0.6747%	0.5055%				
65	1.2737%	0.9706%				
70	2.2206%	1.6742%				
75	3.7834%	2.8106%				
80	6.4368%	4.5879%				
85	11.0757%	7.7446%				
90	18.3408%	13.1682%				
95	26.7491%	19.4509%				

^{*}Combined Health table.

Service Retirement/Probabilities of Retirement

Plan 1 – PERS, TRS, SERS, LEOFF and WSP

Old Basis: Table based upon 1989-92 retirement experience.

New Basis: Table based upon 1995-00 retirement experience.

PERS							
	Plan 1 Retirement Experience						
		1995	-2000				
		Old Assur	-	New Assur			
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio		
-50	291	147	1.98	157	1.86		
51	213	226	0.94	215	0.99		
52	262	245	1.07	265	0.99		
53	345	324	1.06	350	0.99		
54	376	340	1.11	367	1.02		
55	463	639	0.72	430	1.08		
56	353	406	0.87	361	0.98		
57	297	357	0.83	318	0.94		
58	243	298	0.82	264	0.92		
59	274	441	0.62	251	1.09		
60	412	441	0.93	516	0.80		
61	446	521	0.86	445	1.00		
62	649	739	0.88	657	0.99		
63	292	332	0.88	280	1.04		
64	253	311	0.81	244	1.04		
65	292	323	0.90	288	1.01		
66	104	110	0.94	100	1.04		
67	76	73	1.04	66	1.15		
68	41	52	0.79	47	0.88		
69	26	39	0.66	35	0.73		
Total	5,708	6,364	0.90	5,656	1.01		
70+	103						
	5,811						

Service Retirement Probability of Retirement Plan 1 Members Eligible to Retire Male **Female** Age 50 64% 40% 51 57% 43% 52% 52 37% 53 52% 33% 54 52% 52% 55 22% 26% 56 22% 18% 57 22% 18% 22% 58 22% 59 22% 37% 60 22% 18% 22% 22% 61 62 40% 37% 63 26% 26% 30% 64 26% 65 49% 46%66-69 30% 26% 70+

PERS

^{*}Immediate retirement is assumed for every person who attains age 70.

TRS							
	Plan 1 Retirement Experience						
	1995-2000						
		Old Assur	mptions	New Assur	nptions		
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio		
52	454	394	1.15	394	1.15		
53	562	503	1.12	501	1.12		
54	549	504	1.09	504	1.09		
55	869	907	0.96	907	0.96		
56	623	702	0.89	644	0.97		
57	532	570	0.93	521	1.02		
58	476	486	0.98	444	1.07		
59	437	466	0.94	425	1.03		
60	622	579	1.07	608	1.02		
61	424	451	0.94	415	1.02		
62	580	578	1.00	605	0.96		
63	289	322	0.90	276	1.05		
64	182	241	0.75	172	1.06		
65	253	304	0.83	261	0.97		
66	115	102	1.13	125	0.92		
67	64	65	0.98	68	0.94		
68	49	48	1.01	53	0.92		
69	26	32	0.82	28	0.92		
Total	7,106	7,253	0.98	6,949	1.02		
70+	56	•		-			
	7,162						

TRS Service Retirement Probability of Retirement Plan 1 Members Eligible to Retire

	Service Not Equal to 30 Years			Equal to 30 ears
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female
-53	25%	20%	40%	30%
54	25%	20%	40%	30%
55	25%	20%	40%	30%
56	20%	20%	35%	30%
57	20%	20%	35%	30%
58	20%	20%	40%	30%
59	20%	25%	45%	30%
60	20%	25%	45%	30%
61	20%	20%	60%	35%
62	45%	35%	60%	55%
63	30%	25%	60%	50%
64	25%	20%	60%	50%
65	45%	45%	90%	90%
66	45%	35%	90%	90%
67	35%	30%	90%	90%
68	30%	35%	90%	90%
69	30%	25%	90%	90%
70+	*	*	*	*

^{*}Immediate retirement is assumed for every person who attains age 70.

LEOFF							
	Plan 1 Retirement Experience						
	1995-2000						
Old Assumptions New Assumptions					<u>nptions</u>		
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio		
50	128	196	0.65	126	1.01		
51	82	58	1.41	82	1.00		
52	81	102	0.79	81	1.00		
53	67	129	0.52	67	1.00		
54	61	94	0.65	61	1.00		
55	81	71	1.14	76	1.07		
56	42	32	1.32	54	0.78		
57	45	23	1.99	38	1.17		
58	37	15	2.49	39	0.96		
59	17	22	0.76	26	0.64		
60	29	15	1.91	18	1.62		
61	17	24	0.70	16	1.06		
62	9	15	0.61	10	0.93		
63	5	5	1.08	5	0.95		
64	5	3	1.64	5	0.95		
Total	706	804	0.88	704	1.00		
65+	6						
	712						

LEOFF Service Retirement Probability of Retirement Plan 1 Members Eligible to Retire				
Age	Probability of			
-	Retirement			
50	9%			
51	7%			
52	8%			
53	8%			
54	10%			
55	16%			
56	16%			
57	16%			
58	23%			
59	23%			
60	23%			
61	28%			
62	28%			
63	28%			
64	40%			
65+	*			

^{*}Immediate retirement is assumed for every person who attains age 65.

		W	SP				
	Retirement Experience						
	1995-2000						
A	A -41	Old Assur		New Assur			
Age	<u>Actual</u>	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio		
45	8	5	1.62	3	2.33		
46	9	15	0.59	11	0.85		
47	15	27	0.55	19	0.79		
48	27	38	0.71	26	1.03		
49	24	37	0.65	26	0.94		
50	28	38	0.75	26	1.07		
51	17	36	0.48	20	0.84		
52	17	34	0.49	20	0.87		
53	20	30	0.66	17	1.16		
54	15	23	0.66	13	1.16		
55	13	21	0.61	12	1.08		
56	7	15	0.48	11	0.61		
57	10	9	1.06	7	1.34		
58	3	5	0.61	4	0.78		
59	5	3	1.79	2	2.26		
Total	218	336	0.65	218	1.00		
60+	2						

WSP Service Retirement Probability of Retirement Members Eligible to Retire			
Age	Probability of Retirement		
up to 50	31%		
51-55	23%		
56-59	28%		
60	*		

^{*}Immediate retirement is assumed for every person who attains age 60.

Service Retirement/Probabilities of Retirement

Plan 2/3 – PERS, TRS, SERS, LEOFF and WSP

PERS							
	Plan 2/3 Retirement Experience						
	1995-2000						
Old Assumptions New Assumptions							
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio		
55	17	13	1.27	19	0.89		
56	19	12	1.55	17	1.09		
57	20	16	1.28	17	1.19		
58	27	15	1.79	22	1.22		
59	23	15	1.55	20	1.16		
60	36	19	1.94	36	0.99		
61	37	19	1.96	38	0.98		
62	76	132	0.58	78	0.98		
63	54	56	0.96	52	1.03		
64	413	206	2.00	416	0.99		
65	941	1,210	0.78	955	0.99		
66	274	418	0.66	278	0.99		
67	162	291	0.56	157	1.03		
68	112	214	0.52	115	0.97		
69	90	159	0.57	86	1.05		
Total	2,301	2,796	0.82	2,305	1.00		
70+	262						
	2,563						

PERS Service Retirement Probability of Retirement Plan 2/3 Members Eligible to Retire

	Service less than 30 Years		Service greater than or Equal to 30 Years	
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female
55	5%	5%	7%	7%
56	5%	5%	7%	7%
57	5%	5%	7%	7%
58	10%	5%	14%	7%
59	10%	5%	14%	7%
60	14%	14%	21%	21%
61	14%	18%	21%	27%
62	33%	30%	50%	45%
63	26%	26%	39%	39%
64	79%	82%	90%	90%
65	52%	49%	52%	49%
66	30%	30%	30%	30%
67	22%	26%	22%	26%
68	22%	26%	22%	26%
69	26%	22%	26%	22%
70+	*	*	*	*

^{*}Immediate retirement is assumed for every person who attains age 70.

	TRS					
	Plan 2/3 Retirement Experience					
		1995	-2000			
		Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions	
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio	
55	22	26	0.86	21	1.05	
56	33	21	1.59	24	1.36	
57	25	19	1.34	31	0.81	
58	22	15	1.45	25	0.87	
59	22	14	1.57	18	1.21	
60	21	13	1.57	24	0.87	
61	22	9	2.38	24	0.90	
62	21	39	0.54	21	0.99	
63	17	16	1.07	18	0.96	
64	36	26	1.38	35	1.04	
65	94	135	0.70	98	0.96	
66	34	40	0.85	33	1.05	
67	14	21	0.67	15	0.97	
68	10	16	0.64	11	0.93	
69	12	11	1.09	11	1.09	
Total	405	420	0.96	408	0.99	
70+	18					
	423					

TRS Service Retirement Probability of Retirement Plan 2/3 Members Eligible to Retire

	Service less than 30		Service greater than		
	Y	ears	or Equal	to 30 Years	
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	
55	5%	3%	8%	5%	
56	5%	5%	8%	8%	
57	5%	10%	8%	15%	
58	5%	10%	8%	15%	
59	5%	10%	8%	15%	
60	20%	15%	30%	23%	
61	40%	20%	60%	30%	
62	30%	30%	45%	45%	
63	30%	30%	45%	45%	
64	60%	50%	90%	75%	
65	50%	50%	50%	50%	
66	50%	30%	50%	30%	
67	50%	25%	50%	25%	
68	50%	25%	50%	25%	
69	50%	40%	50%	40%	
70+	*	*	*	*	

^{*}Immediate retirement is assumed for every person who attains age 70. Approximately 50% of those eligible to retire in plan 3 elect to defer their benefit.

	SERS				
	Plan 2/3 Retirement Experience				
		1995	-2000		
		Old Assur	<u>nptions</u>	New Assur	<u>nptions</u>
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio
55	12	16	0.77	17	0.71
56	9	17	0.54	18	0.50
57	8	16	0.51	14	0.58
58	15	12	1.23	13	1.15
59	14	15	0.96	13	1.08
60	19	14	1.40	22	0.85
61	16	12	1.29	24	0.67
62	23	55	0.42	30	0.76
63	13	22	0.59	21	0.63
64	163	81	2.01	164	0.99
65	358	479	0.75	376	0.95
66	103	161	0.64	109	0.94
67	57	120	0.47	66	0.86
68	49	97	0.50	53	0.92
69	50	78	0.64	42	1.19
Total	909	1,194	0.76	983	0.92
70+	108	•			
	1,017				

SERS Service Retirement Probability of Retirement Plan 2/3 Members Eligible to Retire

	Service l	Service less than 30		Service greater than		
	Y	ears	or Equal	to 30 Years		
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female		
55	5%	5%	7%	7%		
56	5%	5%	7%	7%		
57	5%	5%	7%	7%		
58	10%	5%	14%	7%		
59	10%	5%	14%	7%		
60	14%	14%	21%	21%		
61	14%	18%	21%	27%		
62	33%	30%	50%	45%		
63	26%	26%	39%	39%		
64	79%	82%	90%	90%		
65	52%	49%	52%	49%		
66	30%	30%	30%	30%		
67	22%	26%	22%	26%		
68	22%	26%	22%	26%		
69	26%	22%	26%	22%		
70+	*	*	*	*		

^{*}Immediate retirement is assumed for every person who attains age 70.

Approximately 50% of those eligible to retire in plan 3 elect to defer their benefit.

LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement Experience 1995-2000 **Old Assumptions New Assumptions** Age Actual **Expected** Ratio **Expected** Ratio 0.4850 0.73 5 7 10 51 7 1 0.15 8 0.13 52 4 7 0.56 6 0.69 53 11 226 0.05 49 0.23 54 109 0.12 39 0.34 13 55 9 92 0.10 41 0.22 56 10 51 0.20 37 0.2757 38 0.21 28 0.29 8 58 10 50 0.20 29 0.34 59 0.54 12 38 0.31 22 60 10 26 0.38 15 0.66 61 3 21 0.14 14 0.21 8 62 16 0.49 11 0.71 63 2 11 0.19 7 0.272 9 64 0.23 8 0.24 65 8 29 0.28 29 0.28 Total 116 738 0.16 354 0.33

These rates reflect the lowered Normal Retirement Age at 53

Service Retirement Probability of Retirement Plan 2 Members Eligible to Retire			
Age	Probability of		
	<u>Retirement</u>		
50	10%		
51	10%		
52	10%		
53	16%		
54	19%		
55	24%		
56	25%		
57	25%		
58	33%		
59	33%		
60	33%		
61	37%		
62	37%		
63	37%		
64	48%		
65+	*		

LEOFE

Disability

The number of disabilities in any retirement plan is largely determined by the size of the benefit and the difficulty in satisfying the requirements for being disabled. Disability is a relatively minor decrement in all of PERS, TRS, SERS and in LEOFF 2 and WSP. In LEOFF 1 it is the primary decrement.

The rate of disability represents the rate that active members begin the disability benefit. It does not represent the number of members that become disabled and cannot continue to work in their current job. Some members who cannot continue will change to other jobs, take a regular retirement if eligible, quit and withdraw their contributions, or quit and receive a benefit at regular retirement age. Only those disabilities that initiate a disability are included.

Old Basis: Disability Table based on 1989-94 experience.

New Basis: Disability Table based on 1995-00 experience.

These rates reflect the lowered Normal Retirement Age at 53

^{*}Immediate retirement is assumed for every person who attains age 65.

		PE	ERS		
		Disability	Experience		
		1995	-2000		
Pl	an 1	Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio
-39	0	1	0.00	1	0.00
40-44	27	24	1.11	27	0.99
45-49	89	101	0.88	99	0.90
50-54	186	177	1.05	207	0.90
55-59	237	146	1.62	213	1.11
60	4	1	2.93	7	0.57
Total	543	451	1.20	555	0.98
DI	an 2	Old Assur	nntions	New Assur	nntions
			Ratio		Ratio
Age -39	Actual 35	Expected	29.45	Expected 36	0.98
40-44	35	9	3.83	39	0.98
45-49	65	38	1.72	68	0.96
50-54	90	36 86	1.72	102	0.90
55-59	148	121	1.03	159	0.88
60	209	167	1.25	194	1.08
Total	582	422	1.23	597	0.97
1 Otal	362	422	1.30	371	0.7/

None assumed after age 70

		PERS ity of Disab not eligible t		
	Pla	n 1	Pla	n 2
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female
20	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%
25	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0052%	0.0000%
30	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0115%	0.0056%
35	0.0310%	0.0319%	0.0156%	0.0194%
40	0.0762%	0.0710%	0.0235%	0.0275%
45	0.1481%	0.1431%	0.0476%	0.0467%
50	0.2542%	0.3023%	0.0922%	0.1003%
55	0.8240%	0.6411%	0.2630%	0.2782%
60	1.1701%	0.6502%	0.7603%	0.7681%
64	1.1701%	0.5495%	1.0244%	1.0271%

		T	RS		
	Disability Experience 1995-2000				
Pl	Plan 1 Old Assumptions New Assumptions				
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio
-34	0	0	n/a	0	n/a
35-39	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
40-44	10	6	1.73	8	1.28
45-49	44	38	1.17	51	0.87
50-54	98	67	1.45	91	1.08
55-59	36	29	1.26	39	0.93
60	1	0	2.93	0	2.17
Total	189	140	1.35	189	1.00
Pla	n 2/3	Old Assur	Old Assumptions		nptions
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio
-34	0	9	0.00	3	0.00
35-39	3	11	0.28	3	0.91
40-44	1	20	0.05	6	0.16
45-49	5	35	0.14	11	0.48
50-54	9	36	0.25	11	0.82
55-59	12	20	0.60	6	1.98
60	13	10	1.26	3	4.15
Total	43	142	0.30	43	1.00

None assumed after age 70

TRS Probability of Disablement (for those not eligible to retire)				
	Pla	n 1	Plan	2/3
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female
20	0.0013%	0.0014%	0.0003%	0.0003%
25	0.0091%	0.0092%	0.0024%	0.0019%
30	0.0187%	0.0190%	0.0048%	0.0040%
35	0.0321%	0.0326%	0.0083%	0.0068%
40	0.0428%	0.0434%	0.0111%	0.0091%
45	0.0944%	0.0957%	0.0244%	0.0201%
50	0.1634%	0.1656%	0.0422%	0.0347%
55	0.3347%	0.3393%	0.0866%	0.0712%
60	0.4686%	0.4750%	0.1212%	0.0997%
64	0.7213%	0.7311%	0.1865%	0.1534%

SERS Disability Experience 1995-2000					
Pla	n 2/3	Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio
-39	9	0	29.35	9	1.06
40-44	8	3	2.56	10	0.83
45-49	17	15	1.14	20	0.84
50-54	39	36	1.08	46	0.85
55-59	74	49	1.50	73	1.02
60	82	72	1.14	76	1.08
Total	229	176	1.30	233	0.98

None assumed after age 70

SERS Probability of Disablement (for those not eligible to retire)				
	Plan	2/3		
Age	Male	Female		
20	0.0000%	0.0000%		
25	0.0000%	0.0000%		
30	0.0000%	0.0048%		
35	0.0081%	0.0176%		
40	0.0258%	0.0164%		
45	0.0568%	0.0201%		
50	0.1102%	0.0738%		
55	0.3428%	0.2876%		
60	0.9292%	0.5589%		
64	1.2272%	0.6589%		

		LEC	OFF			
	Disability Experience					
	1995-2000					
Pl	Plan 1		Old Assumptions		New Assumptions	
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio	
-34	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	
35-39	2	2	1.07	2	0.99	
40-44	64	51	1.25	55	1.16	
45-49	283	306	0.92	331	0.86	
50-54	481	394	1.22	425	1.13	
55-59	140	136	1.03	146	0.96	
60	14	23	0.61	25	0.57	
Total	984	912	1.08	984	1.00	
Pl	an 2	Old Assur	<u>nptions</u>	New Assumptions		
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio	
-34	1	4	0.25	1	1.13	
35-39	1	4	0.24	1	1.09	
40-44	1	7	0.15	1	0.70	
45-49	1	8	0.13	2	0.59	
50-54	2	4	0.47	1	2.13	
55-59	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	
60	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	
Total	6	27	0.22	6	1.00	

LEOFF Probability of Disablement			
Age	Plan 1	Plan 2	
20	0.10%	0.00%	
25	0.10%	0.00%	
30	0.80%	0.00%	
35	1.48%	0.00%	
40	2.35%	0.01%	
45	4.24%	0.02%	
50	7.34%	0.05%	
55	10.35%	0.10%	
60+	12.17%	0.10%	

WSP disability benefits are not provided by the retirement system. They are provided through the WSP operating budget. However, when the disabled member dies the retirement system does provide a survivor benefit if there is an eligible spouse.

Initially, the disability status is quite similar to that of termination of employment. In both cases an actively employed member ceases to earn compensation and make contributions to the retirement fund yet does not receive a monthly benefit from the retirement system. However, at age 60 the terminated vested member will begin drawing a pension from the trust fund whereas the disabled member will continue to receive payments from the operating fund for life.

WSP Probability of Disablement				
Year	Disabilities	Active Members		
2000	0	968		
1999	1	929		
1998	1	927		
1997	2	918		
1996	2	901		
Total	6	4,643		
Probability of Disablement 0.1%				

Terminations

At least two successive years of experience are needed to determine the status of a terminating member with any degree of confidence due to the significant number of members who return to work following a short absence.

Old Basis: Termination tables based on the 1989-93 experience.

New Basis: Termination tables based on the 1995-98 experience.

		PER	2S		
		Termination	Experience		
		1995-1	.998		
		Old Assur	<u>nptions</u>	New Assur	nptions
Years of					
Service	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio
0-1	14,338	11,569	1.24	14,334	1.00
2-3	6,854	6,175	1.11	6,857	1.00
4-5	4,478	3,816	1.17	4,481	1.00
6-7	3,106	2,737	1.14	3,111	1.00
8-9	1,879	1,893	0.99	1,917	0.98
10-11	1,364	1,352	1.01	1,351	1.01
12-13	992	894	1.11	1,003	0.99
14-15	779	542	1.44	753	1.04
16-17	592	358	1.65	583	1.02
18-19	359	237	1.52	386	0.93
20-21	187	133	1.40	198	0.94
22-23	92	67	1.38	107	0.86
24-25	41	31	1.31	50	0.82
26-27	22	17	1.28	22	0.98
28-29	6	9	0.66	10	0.59
Total	35,089	29,831	1.18	35,081	1.00

PERS General Employment Turnover			
Probability of Termination in the Next Year			
Years of Service	Male	Female	
0	25.90%	26.39%	
1	15.46%	16.72%	
2	10.20%	11.72%	
3	7.69%	9.25%	
4	6.39%	7.69%	
5	5.31%	6.53%	
6	4.35%	5.87%	
7	4.07%	5.31%	
8	3.73%	4.69%	
9	3.54%	4.11%	
10	3.25%	3.87%	
11	3.10%	3.54%	
12	3.05%	3.15%	
13	2.86%	3.10%	
14	2.76%	3.00%	
15	2.66%	2.86%	
16	2.37%	2.62%	
17	2.13%	2.27%	
18	1.83%	1.98%	
19	1.49%	1.73%	
20	1.14%	1.44%	
21	0.95%	1.14%	
22	0.75%	0.95%	
23	0.60%	0.80%	
24	0.55%	0.55%	
25	0.50%	0.45%	
26+	0.40%	0.40%	

TRS					
Termination Experience					
		1995	-1998		
		Old Assur	mptions	New Assur	nptions
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio
0-1	1,723	1,242	1.39	1,723	1.00
2-3	1,682	1,612	1.04	1,694	0.99
4-5	1,097	1,196	0.92	1,114	0.98
6-7	682	772	0.88	677	1.01
8-9	392	458	0.86	389	1.01
10-11	289	298	0.97	279	1.04
12-13	183	186	0.98	182	1.00
14-15	133	138	0.97	144	0.93
16-17	137	123	1.11	140	0.98
18-19	99	101	0.98	103	0.96
20-21	86	83	1.03	75	1.14
22-23	49	74	0.67	56	0.87
24-25	61	62	0.99	50	1.23
26-27	48	48	1.00	39	1.22
28-29	24	32	<u>0.74</u>	<u>26</u>	0.91
Total	6,685	<u>6,425</u>	<u>1.04</u>	6,692	1.00

	TRS			
	General Employment Turnover Probability of Termination in the Next Year			
Years of				
Service	Male	Female		
0	9.65%	10.00%		
1	9.65%	10.00%		
2	6.00%	7.00%		
3	4.00%	5.50%		
4	4.00%	4.50%		
5	3.50%	4.00%		
6	3.00%	3.50%		
7	2.20%	3.00%		
8	2.10%	2.60%		
9	2.00%	2.00%		
10	1.90%	1.95%		
11	1.80%	1.90%		
12	1.80%	1.70%		
13	1.00%	1.40%		
14	1.00%	1.40%		
15	1.00%	1.40%		
16	1.00%	1.40%		
17	0.95%	1.10%		
18	0.90%	0.90%		
19	0.80%	0.90%		
20	0.70%	0.90%		
21+	0.50%	0.50%		

SERS					
Termination Experience					
		1995-1	998		
		Old Assur	nptions	New Assur	nptions
Years of					
Service	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio
0-1	6,286	6,054	1.04	6,285	1.00
2-3	2,945	2,950	1.00	2,948	1.00
4-5	1,815	1,717	1.06	1,878	0.97
6-7	1,132	1,004	1.13	1,173	0.97
8-9	693	640	1.08	715	0.97
10-11	523	420	1.24	510	1.03
12-13	364	255	1.43	375	0.97
14-15	260	140	1.85	261	1.00
16-17	198	92	2.16	187	1.06
18-19	104	59	1.75	122	0.85
20-21	56	32	1.77	56	0.99
22-23	17	14	1.21	25	0.69
24-25	9	5	1.84	9	1.02
26-27	3	2	2.00	3	1.04
28-29	1	1	1.43	1	0.91
Total	14,406	13,385	1.08	14,535	0.99

	SERS			
General Employment Turnover				
Probability of T	ermination in th	e Next Year		
Years of				
Service	Male	Female		
0	25.90%	19.45%		
1	16.09%	12.87%		
2	11.54%	10.07%		
3	10.07%	7.60%		
4	8.52%	6.58%		
5	7.28%	5.97%		
6	6.06%	5.31%		
7	5.59%	5.21%		
8	4.93%	4.83%		
9	4.64%	4.64%		
10	4.26%	4.50%		
11	4.02%	4.45%		
12	3.83%	4.40%		
13	3.73%	4.40%		
14	3.25%	4.21%		
15	2.96%	4.26%		
16	2.66%	3.78%		
17	2.42%	3.44%		
18	2.03%	3.10%		
19	1.59%	2.62%		
20	1.24%	2.03%		
21	1.14%	1.59%		
22	0.85%	1.29%		
23	0.65%	0.85%		
24	0.65%	0.75%		
25	0.50%	0.75%		
26+	0.50%	0.75%		

LEOFF						
Termination Experience						
	1995-1998					
		Old Assur	<u>nptions</u>	New Assur	<u>nptions</u>	
Years of						
Service	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Expected	Ratio	
0-1	303	311	0.97	305	0.99	
2-3	131	140	0.94	131	1.00	
4-5	106	113	0.94	114	0.93	
6-7	116	96	1.21	107	1.08	
8-9	71	67	1.06	72	0.98	
10-11	56	49	1.14	57	0.99	
12-13	45	26	1.74	43	1.04	
14-15	36	13	2.80	32	1.11	
16-17	24	11	2.25	30	0.80	
18-19	21	8	2.69	18	1.15	
20-21	15	5	2.79	13	1.19	
22-23	6	5	1.25	11	0.54	
24-25	8	3	2.29	8	0.98	
26-27	3	2	1.95	4	0.84	
28-29	3	0	18.52	0	9.12	
Total	944	847	1.11	934	1.01	

LEOFF

General Employment Turnover Probability of Termination in the Next Year

Years of	Male and
Service	Female
0	10.43%
1	4.69%
2	2.37%
3	2.08%
4	1.98%
5	1.94%
6	1.94%
7	1.94%
8	1.67%
9	1.67%
10	1.67%
11	1.42%
12	1.42%
13	1.42%
14	0.99%
15	0.99%
16	0.99%
17	0.70%
18	0.70%
19	0.70%
20	0.70%
21	0.70%
22	0.70%
23	0.70%
24	0.70%
25	0.70%
26	0.70%
27	0.70%
28	0.70%
29+	0.00%

WSP Termination Experience 1995-1998							
		Old Assun	<u>nptions</u>			New Assur	<u>mptions</u>
Age	Actual	Expected	Ratio	Years of Service	Actual	Expected	Ratio
20-24	1	1	1.43	0-4	13	13	1.00
25-29	16	9	1.87	5-9	15	15	1.00
30-34	8	10	0.77	10-14	5	5	1.00
35-39	8	6	1.34	15-19	3	3	1.00
40-44	1	5	0.21	20-24	1	1	1.00
45-49	2	4	0.56	25-29	0	0	n/a
50-54	1	1	1.25	30-34	0	0	n/a
Total	37	35	1.07	Total	37	37	1.00

	WSP General Employment Turnover Probability of Termination and Disability in the Next Year				
Years of	Termination	Disability			
Service	Rate	Rate	Total		
0-4	2.4%	0.1%	2.5%		
5-9	1.4%	0.1%	1.5%		
10-14	0.9%	0.1%	1.0%		
15-19	0.6%	0.1%	0.7%		
20-25	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%		
25+	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%		

Termination with Vested Benefit

A plan 1 or 2 member who terminates may have a choice as to what to do with their retirement benefit. They may withdraw their own accumulated contributions and destroy their service credit. They will not receive a service retirement benefit at a later date. Those that are vested may decide to leave their contributions and receive a retirement upon reaching retirement age. The following tables demonstrate the probability that a vested member who terminates employment will leave their accumulated contributions. We refer to this as the *Probability of Vesting Upon Termination*.

Old Basis: Probability of Vesting Upon Termination Table based on 1985-88

experience.

New Basis: Probability of Vesting Upon Termination Table based on 1995-98

experience.

PERS Probability of Vesting Upon Termination

(for those not eligible to early retire)

Years of		
Service	Plan 1	Plan 2/3
<5	0%	0%
5	35%	35%
6	45%	35%
7	45%	40%
8	45%	40%
9	45%	45%
10	45%	45%
11	45%	45%
12	45%	45%
13	45%	45%
14	55%	45%
15	55%	50%
16	60%	50%
17	60%	55%
18	60%	55%
19	60%	60%
20	60%	60%
21	60%	60%
22	60%	60%
23	60%	60%
24	60%	60%
25	65%	65%
26	65%	65%
27	75%	75%
28	75%	75%
29	75%	75%
30+	100%	100%

TRS Probability of Vesting Upon Termination

(for those not eligible to early retire)

Years of		
Service	Plan 1	Plan 2/3
<5	0%	0%
5	65%	60%
6	65%	60%
7	75%	60%
8	75%	60%
9	75%	65%
10	75%	65%
11	75%	65%
12	75%	65%
13	75%	70%
14	85%	70%
15	85%	70%
16	85%	70%
17	85%	80%
18	85%	80%
19	85%	85%
20	85%	85%
21	90%	90%
22	90%	90%
23	90%	90%
24	90%	90%
25	90%	90%
26	90%	90%
27	95%	95%
28	100%	100%
29+	100%	100%

SERS				
Probability of				
Vesting Upon				
Termi	nation			
(for those i	not eligible			
to early	retire)			
Years of				
Service	Plan 2/3			
<5	0%			
5	50%			
6	50%			
7	55%			
8	55%			
9	55%			
10	60%			
11	60%			
12	60%			
13	60%			
14	65%			
15	65%			
16	70%			
17	70%			
18	70%			
19	70%			
20	70%			
21	75%			
22 80%				
23 80%				
24	80%			
25	80%			
26	80%			
27+	100%			

LEOFF					
Probability of					
· ·	g Upon				
	nation				
v	not eligible				
•	v retire)				
Years of	DI 2/2				
Service	Plan 2/3				
<5 -	0%				
5	15%				
6	15%				
7	15%				
8	15%				
9	15%				
10	15%				
11	15%				
12	15%				
13	15%				
14	15%				
15	15%				
16	15%				
17	15%				
18	35%				
19	35%				
20	75%				
21+	100%				

WSP Probability of Vesting Upon Termination				
Years of	Percent			
Service	Vested			
<5	0%			
5	15%			
6	15%			
7	15%			
8	15%			
9	15%			
10	15%			
11	15%			
12	15%			
13	15%			
14	15%			
15	15%			
16	15%			
17	15%			
18	35%			
19 35%				
20	75%			
21+	100%			

Portability

Portability increases the liabilities associated with dual members. The increased costs are a function of their salary and service in their later system. The 1995-2000 Experience Study determined the future retirement benefits of each system should be increased to account for increased benefits due to the portability statutes.

	Portability	
System	<u>Plan</u>	Load
PERS	1	0.2%
	2	0.3%
TRS	1	0.3%
	2	0.1%
	3	0.1%
SERS	1	0.3%
	2	0.3%
LEOFF	1	N/A
	2	0.1%
WSP	1	0.0%

Salary Increase

Salary increases usually have two parts: (1) a cost-of-living or inflation component, and (2) a step/longevity increase. This experience study will focus on the step portion of pay increases. The cost-of-living component is one of the economic assumptions adopted separately.

Step/longevity Salary Increase

Old Basis: Scale based on the 1989-94 Experience.

New Basis: Scale based on the 1995-00 Experience.

		PERS			
	Step Salary Increase Assumptions				
Years of Service	New %	Remaining	Old %	Remaining	
	Increase	Step Increase	Increase	Step Increase	
1	6.1%	1.275	3.8%	1.183	
2	4.8%	1.201	3.0%	1.140	
3	3.8%	1.146	2.5%	1.107	
4	2.9%	1.104	1.9%	1.080	
5	2.1%	1.073	1.4%	1.059	
6	1.3%	1.051	1.0%	1.045	
7	1.0%	1.038	0.7%	1.035	
8	0.8%	1.027	0.5%	1.027	
9	0.6%	1.019	0.5%	1.022	
10	0.4%	1.013	0.4%	1.017	
11	0.3%	1.009	0.4%	1.013	
12	0.2%	1.006	0.3%	1.009	
13	0.1%	1.004	0.2%	1.006	
14	0.1%	1.003	0.2%	1.004	
15	0.1%	1.002	0.2%	1.002	
16	0.1%	1.001	0.0%	1.000	
17	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000	
18	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000	
19	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000	
20	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000	
21+	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000	

		TRS		
	Step Sala	ary Increase Assur	nptions	
Years of Service	New %	Remaining	Old %	Remaining
	Increase	Step Increase	Increase	Step Increase
1	6.2%	1.476	4.5%	1.607
2	4.4%	1.390	4.2%	1.538
3	4.2%	1.331	3.8%	1.476
4	3.5%	1.278	3.6%	1.422
5	3.1%	1.235	3.5%	1.373
6	2.7%	1.197	3.3%	1.326
7	2.6%	1.166	3.2%	1.284
8	2.3%	1.136	3.1%	1.244
9	2.1%	1.111	3.0%	1.207
10	1.9%	1.088	3.0%	1.171
11	1.7%	1.068	3.0%	1.137
12	1.6%	1.050	3.0%	1.104
13	1.4%	1.033	2.5%	1.072
14	0.9%	1.019	1.5%	1.046
15	0.8%	1.010	0.5%	1.030
16	0.2%	1.002	0.5%	1.025
17	0.0%	1.000	0.5%	1.020
18	0.0%	1.000	0.5%	1.015
19	0.0%	1.000	0.5%	1.010
20	0.0%	1.000	0.5%	1.005
21+	0.0%	1.000	0.5%	1.000

		SERS		
	Step Sala	ary Increase Assur	nptions	
Years of Service	New %	Remaining	Old %	Remaining
	Increase	Step Increase	Increase	Step Increase
1	7.0%	1.280	3.8%	1.183
2	3.9%	1.196	3.0%	1.140
3	2.8%	1.151	2.5%	1.107
4	2.3%	1.120	1.9%	1.080
5	2.2%	1.095	1.4%	1.059
6	1.5%	1.071	1.0%	1.045
7	1.2%	1.055	0.7%	1.035
8	1.0%	1.043	0.5%	1.027
9	0.8%	1.032	0.5%	1.022
10	0.7%	1.024	0.4%	1.017
11	0.7%	1.017	0.4%	1.013
12	0.3%	1.010	0.3%	1.009
13	0.3%	1.007	0.2%	1.006
14	0.2%	1.004	0.2%	1.004
15	0.1%	1.002	0.2%	1.002
16	0.1%	1.001	0.0%	1.000
17	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000
18	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000
19	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000
20	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000
21+	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000

		LEOFF			
	Step Salary Increase Assumptions				
Years of Service	New %	Remaining	Old %	Remaining	
	Increase	Step Increase	Increase	Step Increase	
1	11.7%	1.810	7.0%	1.397	
2	8.1%	1.621	5.0%	1.306	
3	6.6%	1.499	4.0%	1.244	
4	4.5%	1.406	2.5%	1.196	
5	3.2%	1.346	2.0%	1.167	
6	2.5%	1.304	1.5%	1.144	
7	2.2%	1.272	1.4%	1.127	
8	2.0%	1.245	1.3%	1.111	
9	2.0%	1.221	1.2%	1.097	
10	2.0%	1.197	1.1%	1.084	
11	1.9%	1.173	1.0%	1.072	
12	1.8%	1.151	1.0%	1.062	
13	1.7%	1.131	0.9%	1.051	
14	1.6%	1.112	0.8%	1.042	
15	1.6%	1.095	0.7%	1.033	
16	1.6%	1.077	0.6%	1.026	
17	1.6%	1.060	0.5%	1.020	
18	1.6%	1.044	0.5%	1.015	
19	1.4%	1.027	0.5%	1.010	
20	1.3%	1.013	0.5%	1.005	
21+	0.0%	1.000	0.5%	1.000	

		WSP			
	Step Salary Increase Assumptions				
Years of Service	New %	Remaining	Old %	Remaining	
	Increase	Step Increase	Increase	Step Increase	
1	6.0%	1.678	6.0%	1.258	
2	6.0%	1.583	5.0%	1.187	
3	6.0%	1.493	5.0%	1.130	
4	6.0%	1.409	4.5%	1.076	
5	6.0%	1.329	3.0%	1.030	
6	6.0%	1.254	0.0%	1.000	
7	1.3%	1.183	0.0%	1.000	
8	1.3%	1.168	0.0%	1.000	
9	1.3%	1.153	0.0%	1.000	
10	1.3%	1.138	0.0%	1.000	
11	1.3%	1.123	0.0%	1.000	
12	1.3%	1.109	0.0%	1.000	
13	1.3%	1.095	0.0%	1.000	
14	1.3%	1.081	0.0%	1.000	
15	1.3%	1.067	0.0%	1.000	
16	1.3%	1.053	0.0%	1.000	
17	1.3%	1.040	0.0%	1.000	
18	1.3%	1.026	0.0%	1.000	
19	1.3%	1.013	0.0%	1.000	
20	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000	
21+	0.0%	1.000	0.0%	1.000	

Development of Average Final Compensation (AFC)

PERS, TRS and WSP Plans 1 benefit is a function of the highest two consecutive years salary or Average Final Compensation(AFC). Included in the salary used to compute the AFC may be some items of pay that are not part of regular salary. These include cashout of unused sick and annual leave, etc. There is a great incentive for members to boost their AFC through working additional overtime since they will reap the rewards for a lifetime.

The cost associated with some increases to the AFC is billed back to the employer as an "excess compensation" billing according to RCW 41.50.150. The current study indicates the net increases in projected AFC should be as follows:

	Old	New
PERS 1	6.0%	5.0%
TRS 1	2.0%	1.0%
WSP	8.0%	7.5%

The Plans 2/3 have a 60 month AFC that includes overtime but does not include any cashout of sick or annual leave. This removes the need to load the projected AFC. LEOFF 1 benefits are based on base salary without overtime or cashout of leave.

Percent Married

Percent Married is the percentage of **active** members (eligible for a death benefit) whose eligible spouse, if any, elected a survivor annuity upon the member's death. As with percent vesting, younger members often choose a refund of their contributions over any survivor annuity. This is not the case for Plan 3. For members at the older ages most spouses (and members) are eligible for death benefits.

Old Basis: Table based on 1989-94 Retirement System experience.

New Basis: Table based on 1995-2000 Retirement System experience.

(TRS plans based on Social Security data).

		PERS		
	Pe	ercent Marr	ied*	
	Pla	n 1	Pla	n 2
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female
20	N/A	N/A	0%	0%
25	N/A	N/A	0%	0%
30	N/A	N/A	0%	0%
35	N/A	N/A	0%	0%
40	45%	10%	5%	0%
45	55%	40%	5%	0%
50	65%	45%	20%	10%
55	70%	45%	40%	20%
60	70%	45%	65%	20%
65			65%	20%

^{*}Percentage of active (eligible) members with an eligible spouse, who elects a survivor annuity.

			TRS			
		Pe	rcent Mar	ried*		
	Pla	n 1	Pla	n 2	Pla	ın 3
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
20	N/A	N/A	0%	0%	20%	35%
25	N/A	N/A	0%	0%	48%	63%
30	N/A	N/A	0%	0%	64%	73%
35	N/A	N/A	0%	0%	72%	75%
40	65%	55%	0%	0%	77%	76%
45	65%	55%	0%	0%	79%	76%
50	65%	45%	0%	15%	80%	76%
55	65%	45%	50%	40%	81%	72%
60	75%	35%	75%	50%	81%	67%
65			75%	67%		

^{*}Percentage of active (eligible) members with an eligible spouse, who elects a survivor annuity.

	SERS Plan 2/3 Percent Married*				
Age	Male	Female			
20	0%	0%			
25	0%	0%			
30	0%	0%			
35	0%	0%			
40	5%	0%			
45	5%	0%			
50	20%	10%			
55	40%	20%			
60	65%	20%			
65	65%	20%			

^{*}Percentage of active (eligible) members with an eligible spouse, who elects a survivor annuity.

LEOFF Plan 1 Percent Married*				
Age	Plan 1	Plan 2		
20	N/A	0%		
25	N/A	0%		
30	N/A	0%		
35	N/A	0%		
40	60%	0%		
45	60%	0%		
50	60%	25%		
55	60%	25%		
60	60%	50%		
65	60%			
70	60%			
75	60%			
80	60%			
85	60%			

*Percentage of (eligible) members with an
eligible spouse, who elects a survivor
annuity.

WSP Plan 1			
Percent Married*			
Age			
20	N/A		
25	N/A		
30	N/A		
35	N/A		
40	60%		
45	60%		
50	60%		
55	60%		
60	60%		
65	60%		
70	60%		
75	60%		
80	60%		
85	60%		

^{*}Percentage of (eligible) members with an eligible spouse, who elects a survivor annuity.

Certain and Life Annuities

In many of the plans the standard retirement option is a monthly benefit payable for the life of the member. If the retiree dies before the total of payments exceed member's accumulated contributions, the difference is paid to a beneficiary. For active members, this liability is valued using a certain and life annuity, where the certain period is equal to approximately the ratio of the saving fund to the annual benefit. This ratio is shown below for those plans it applies to:

<u>Plan</u>	Years Certain
PERS 1	3
PERS 2	3
TRS 1 Disability Benefit	11*
TRS 2	4
SERS 2	3
LEOFE 2	5

^{*}Only disabled members get this without a reduction in their benefit. The certain period applies to only the "annuity" portion of their benefit, typically 30% of the total benefit.

LEOFF 1 and WSP retire at younger ages and receive a survivor or child benefit which means the value of this guarantee is very low.

PERS Military Service Credit

Members of PERS 1 may receive service credit for certain military service. A member may include up to five years of military credit in their benefit calculation after completing 25 years of retirement system service.

Forty-eight percent of males retiring with 25 or more years of service from 1996 to 2001 have an average of 37 months of military service.

One percent of females retiring with 25 or more years of service from 1996 to 2001 have an average of 35 months of military service.

WSP Military Service Credit

Members of WSP may receive service credit for certain military service. A member may include up to five years of military credit in their benefit calculation after completing 25 years of retirement system service.

Recent legislation eliminates non-interruptive military service from the plan, for those commissioned after January 1, 2003.

Forty-three percent of members retiring with 25 or more years of service from 1996 to 2001 have an average of 32 months of military service. This provision can increase future benefits an average of 7-8%.

Age Difference

The following is based on the age difference between member and beneficiary for retirements from 1995-2000:

Plan/Sex	Age Difference
PERS - Male	3
PERS - Female	-2
TRS - Male	3
TRS - Female	-2
SERS - Male	3
SERS - Female	-2
LEOFF - Male	4
LEOFF - Female	-4
WSP - Male	3
WSP - Female	-2

IV. Economic Assumptions

Economic and growth assumptions are adopted according to RCW 41.45. These are outside the scope of this study and report.

Following is the set of assumptions to be used beginning with the 2000 actuarial valuations and continuing until changed according to RCW 41.45.

Investment Return Rate	8%
Salary Inflation Rate	$4\frac{1}{2}\frac{0}{0}$
Consumer Price Index	$3\frac{1}{2}\%$

Growth of Active Membership

Growth in membership is assumed to be 1.25% annually in PERS, SERS and LEOFF. TRS is assumed to grow at .90%. This assumption is used to determine future salaries for amortizing the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

V. Actuarial Valuation Method

The Funding Statutes (Chapter 41.45 RCW) require:

Plan 1 to be funded as a level percentage of all future pay needed to fully amortize the total cost of Plan 1 not later than June 30, 2024.

WSP, Plan 2 LEOFF and Plans 2/3 TRS, SERS and PERS will be funded using the Aggregate Actuarial Cost Method.

To satisfy these funding goals we will use a version of the Entry Age Cost Method. Under this method, the Normal Cost of benefits is determined as that contribution rate which, if paid from entry date to retirement date on behalf of the average member of the system, would fully support such member's benefits.

The employer contribution rate for each system is developed as the sum of the Normal Cost and a rate to amortize the plans 1 Unfunded Actuarial Liability as a percentage of all future pay by June 30, 2024. The Plan 2 or Plan 2/3 normal cost is used for plan 1 to create the same employer contribution rate for all members of each system.

Investment gains and losses shall be recognized equally over 4 years beginning with the 2000 actuarial valuations.

VI. Impact on Contribution Rates

The contribution rates below compare the effect of old and new demographic assumptions on the 2000 valuation.

	Plar	1	Plan 2/3	
	2000 Valuation Demographic Assumptions		2000 Valuation Demographic Assumptions	
	Old	New	Old	New
PERS				
Member	6.00%	6.00%	1.05%	0.62%
Employer (Normal Cost)	1.05%	0.62%	1.05%	0.62%
Employer (Plan 1 UAAL)	0.58%	0.44%	0.58%	0.44%
Total Employer	1.63%	1.06%	1.63%	1.06%
TRS				
Member	6.00%	6.00%	*1.29%	*0.03%
Employer (Normal Cost)	1.76%	0.54%	1.76%	0.54%
Employer (Plan 1 UAAL)	0.62%	0.34%	0.62%	0.34%
Total Employer	2.38%	0.88%	2.38%	0.88%
SERS				
Member	N/A	N/A	*0.46%	*0.27%
Employer (Normal Cost)	N/A	N/A	0.64%	0.46%
Employer (PERS Plan 1 UAAL)	N/A	N/A	0.58%	0.44%
Total Employer	N/A	N/A	1.22%	0.90%
LEOFF				
Member	0.00%	0.00%	4.02%	4.37%
Employer	0.00%	0.00%	2.41%	2.62%
State (Normal Cost)	0.00%	0.00%	1.61%	1.75%
State (Plan 1 UAAL)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total State	0.00%	0.00%	1.61%	1.75%
WSP				
Member	2.00%	2.00%	N/A	N/A
Employer (State)	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	N/A

^{*}Applies to Plan 2 Only.

Contribution rates are expressed as a percentage of salary and are effective July 1, 2003.

The 2000 contribution rates reflect legislation enacted during the 2001 legislative sessions including the new economic assumptions (8% interest, 4.5% salary inflation).